

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/538,056	03/31/2006	Gijsbertus Johannes Verduijn	790063.00089	8504	
	7590 07/06/2007 RRADVIIP	•.	EXAMINER		
QUARLES & BRADY LLP 411 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE			SINGH, KAVEL		
SUITE 2040 MILWAUKEE.	, WI 53202-4497		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
, <u>-</u> , - , ,,	, , , , , , ,	·	3651		
		•	<u>:</u>		
			·MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/538,056	VERDUIJN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kavel P. Singh	3651				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated the control of t	I. lely filed the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	÷			
Status							
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) 7 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		·			
Applicati	on Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the content	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	• •			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment							
1) X Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ' No(s)/Mail Date 6/08/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/13/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Coen does not disclose sliding faces located at sides of projections facing away from each other and spaced inwardly from the body part ends. However, Coen discloses side sliding surfaces (25) (Fig.1) of the link guides which as can be seen are spaced inwardly from the body part (C2 L50-53). The embodiment in Figure 5 was utilized to disclose the U-shaped cross section which satisfy the features as claimed. Since no other pertinent arguments were presented, claims 1-6 and 8-17 stand rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4,9,10,11,12,14,16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Coen U.S. Patent No. 5,586,644.

Regarding claims 1 and 18, Coen teaches a sheet-shaped body part (14) provided with a conveying face located at an upper side (17) of the body part, with hinge holes (15) included in the body part and with two projections provided at the underside of the body

Art Unit: 3651

part, while sliding faces located at the sides facing each other of the projections, together with a sliding face located between the projections at the underside of the body part form a longitudinal guide with a substantially U-shaped cross section (Fig. 5), where the projections have been provided at a distance from the sides of the body part and that adjacent the projections, at the underside of the body part, sliding faces are located, each forming, with sliding faces located at sides facing away from each other the projections, a longitudinal guide with substantially L-shaped cross section (C2 L13-20).

Claims 2,3,4, and 16, Coen teaches hinge holes extend along a front and rear side of the module and where the cross section of the holes at the front and rear side differs per side at least locally and extend over at least the entire width of the body part (Fig. 1) (C2 L13-15).

Claims 9 and 10, Coen teaches sliding faces at the sides facing (125) each other of the projections extend substantially transversely to the underside of the body part (C3 L402-45).

Claim 11, Coen teaches part of the front or rear extending between the projections, the body part is provided with a driving face (C2 L30-33).

Claim 12, Coen teaches sliding faces on the sides facing away from each of the projections other extend substantially transversely to the underside of the body part. (C2 L50-52).

Claim 14, Coen teaches the body part and the projections are manufactured from plastic material (C1 L16-18).

Art Unit: 3651 -

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5,6, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coen U.S. Patent No. 5,586,644 in view of Van-Zijderveld U.S. Patent No. 6,581,758. Claims 5,6, and 15, Coen teaches a body part is provided at a front or rear side, but does not teach two bulging hinge loops. Van-Zijderveld teaches two bulging hinge loops (6) with a recess located there between and where, on the opposite side, the body part is further provided with a bulging hinge loop formed correspondingly to the recess (Fig. 4) (C5 L49-51). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ hinge loops as taught by Van-Zijderveld into the invention of Coen in order to provide extra support for the pin to connect the chain modules.

Claims 8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coen U.S. Patent No. 5,586,644 in view of Van-Zijderveld U.S. Patent No. 6,601,696.

Claim 8, Coen teaches a chain module conveyor, but does not disclose a guide bend segment. Van-Zijderveld teaches a space between the sides of the projection facing each other for including a central projection of a guide bend segment is clear of obstructions (C2 L19-23). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 3651

at the time of the invention to employ guide bend sepments as taught by Van-Zijderveld into the invention of Coen in order to provide additional flexibility to the chain module. Claim 17, Cieb teaches hinge pins but that not are manufactured from magnetic or magnetizable material. Van-Zijderveld teaches teaches hinge pins are manufactured from magnetic or magnetizable material (C3 L22-24). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ magnetic hinge pin sepments as taught by Van-Zijderveld into the invention of Coen in order to provide additional flexibility to the chain module.

Claims 5,6, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coen U.S. Patent No. 5,586,644.

Claim 13, Coen teaches the height of the body part between the underside and the conveying surface is 12.8 mm (C2 L13-15).

The recitation of the dimension of the height of the body appears to be an obvious design choice and expedient in view of Gardner v. TEC Systems Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984). The Federal Circuit held that, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 is allowable.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3651

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Kavel P. Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-2362. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on (571) 272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KPS

GENE O. CRAWFORD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER